

SOME BRIEF THOUGHTS ON THE THEORY OF EVOLUTION VERSUS SPECIAL CREATION!

Copyright 2023 – findcomfortinthebible@gmail.com

NOTE: - The compiler has supplied all emphasis throughout this tract.

You might possibly believe that you have evolved; that is, that your ancestors have descended from a monkey or an ape like creature hundreds of thousands or millions of years ago. In laymen's terms, this belief is the **Theory of Evolution**.¹

¹ Let me state it plainly for the reader. The “**Theory of evolution**” is just that. It is a theory that has been asserted to be fact by mainstream science and the media for the last 130 odd years. But assertion is **NOT** evidence or proof for any theory.

So what actually is the definition of the word “**theory**”?

“THEORY, n. [L. theoria; Gr. to see or contemplate.] - 1. Speculation; a doctrine or scheme of things, which terminates in speculation or contemplation, without a view to practice. It is here taken in an unfavorable sense, as implying something visionary. 2. An exposition of the general principles of any science; as the theory of music. 3. The science distinguished from the art; as the theory and practice of medicine. 4. The philosophical explanation of phenomena, either physical or moral; as Lavoisier's theory of combustion; Smith's theory of moral sentiments. Theory is distinguished from hypothesis thus; a theory is founded on inferences drawn from principles which have been established on independent evidence; a hypothesis is a proposition assumed to account for certain phenomena, and has no other evidence of its truth, than that it affords a satisfactory explanation of those phenomena.” – Noah Webster's 1828 Dictionary.

I will now define what the term the “**Theory of Evolution**” actually means.

“The doctrine that unguided natural forces caused chemicals to combine in such a way that life resulted; and that all living things have descended from that common ancestral form of life.” – www.scienceagainstevolution. – accessed 4/06/2022.

The Bible describes the various plants and animals as being created after their various “**kinds**” [see Genesis 1:11, 12, 21, 24 & 25]. One “**kind**” of animal can **never** change into another “**kind**”. The cat “**kind**” has **never** become a dog “**kind**”. These Variations within a species are often passed off by evolutionists as supposed “proof” of evolution, when they are nothing of that nature.

Today it is presented as an absolute scientific fact. Throughout the education system – from primary school right through to university level, through the Main Stream Media’s presentation of nature documentaries, at Museum exhibits, this **theory** of the origins of humanity is presented as an absolute fact, and as being scientifically unassailable. On almost any Television program, you watch, the vast time-scale over which mankind has **supposedly** evolved is presented as fact. But it should be pointed out to the reader, that these vast time periods cannot be proven objectively, but are built upon a number of presuppositions which are automatically assumed to be true.

I shall now list the following seven foundational assumptions upon which the **Theory of Biological Evolution** is actually based. It should be clearly stated, that these **assumptions** are taken for granted by evolutionary scientists to be true without being able to be objectively verified. That is, the theory is impossible to test scientifically.

THE SEVEN FOUNDATIONAL ASSUMPTIONS OF THE THEORY OF BIOLOGICAL EVOLUTION:-

NOTE: - The following extended quotation comes from an evolutionary zoologist and physiologist.²

“There are, however, seven basic assumptions that are often not mentioned during discussions of Evolution. Many evolutionists ignore the first six assumptions and only consider the seventh. These are as follows.

- (1) The first assumption is that non-living things gave rise to living material, i.e. spontaneous generation occurred.
- (2) The second assumption is that spontaneous generation occurred only once. The other assumptions all follow from the second one.
- (3) The third assumption is that viruses, bacteria, plants and animals are all interrelated.
- (4) The fourth assumption is that the Protozoa gave rise to the Metazoa.

² **Gerald Allan Kerkut** (or **G. A. Kerkut**) (19 August 1927 – 6 March 2004) was a British zoologist and physiologist. - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gerald_A._Kerkut - accessed 2/06/2022.

(5) The fifth assumption is that the various invertebrate phyla are interrelated. (6) The sixth assumption is that the invertebrates gave rise to the vertebrates. (7) The seventh assumption is that within the vertebrates the fish gave rise to the amphibia, the amphibia to the reptiles, and the reptiles to the birds and mammals. Sometimes this is expressed in other words, i.e. that the modern amphibia and reptiles had a common ancestral stock, and so on.

“For the initial purposes of this discussion on Evolution, I shall consider that the supporters of the theory of Evolution hold that all these seven assumptions are valid, and that these assumptions form the "General Theory of Evolution.””

“The first point that I should like to make is that these seven assumptions by their nature are not capable of experimental verification. They assume that a certain series of events has occurred in the past. Thus though it may be possible to mimic some of these events under present-day conditions, this does not mean that these events must therefore have taken place in the past. All that it shows is that it is possible for such a change to take place. Thus to change a present-day reptile into a mammal, though of great interest, would not show the way in which the mammals did arise. Unfortunately we cannot bring about even this change; instead we have to depend upon limited circumstantial evidence for our assumptions, and it is now my intention to discuss the nature of this evidence.” – G. A. Kerkut - *Implications of Evolution; 1960; pp. 6 & 7.*



This last paragraph is an astonishingly honest admission from an Evolutionary scientist to make concerning this **Theory**. This admission leads me to consider the question, Is the **Theory of Evolution** consistent with true science? To be able to answer this question, we first need to have a clear definition of what is “science”. Here are a number of simple definitions of the word “science”.

“**Science** is a systematic enterprise that builds and organizes knowledge in the form of **testable** explanations and predictions about the universe.”³

“**Science**, any system of knowledge that is concerned with the physical world and its phenomena and that entails unbiased observations and **systematic experimentation**.”⁴

³ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Science> - accessed 11/06/2022.

⁴ <https://www.britannica.com/science/science> - accessed 11/06/2022.

Science - “The systematic study of the structure and behaviour of the physical and natural world through observation, **experimentation**, and the **testing of theories** against the evidence obtained.”⁵

With these clear definitions of “science” before us, the *Seven Assumptions of Biological Evolution* which are admitted to be not capable of experimental verification, how can the **Theory of Evolution** fit within the definition of what science is?

The **Theory of Evolution** can **NEVER** be established, proven or observed in experiments of any kind. Why? Because the whole **theory** is based upon the belief that there has been no outside intelligence or power playing any part in the **supposed** evolutionary process. It all **supposedly** occurred by random chance processes and mutations over vast aeons⁶ of time. Whereas, a scientific experiment by its very nature is **NOT** able to replicate random chance mutations, and no experiment has aeons of time at its disposal. A scientific experiment by its very nature has to be undertaken in a controlled and structured way, which is contrary to what the **Theory of Evolution** asserts.

Another point of interest concerning the **Theory of Evolution** relates to the dramatic inflation of estimates of the age of the earth that have taken place in scientific circles over the last 150 years.

“In 1650 the Earth was 4,654 years old. In 1864 it was 100 million years old. In 1897 the upper limit was revised to 40 million years. Currently we believe the age to be about 4.5 billion years. **What will be the best guess in the year 2050?**”⁷

NOTE: - It is interesting to note that the estimates of the age of the earth started to dramatically increase, at the same time that this **Theory** was being popularized from

⁵ <https://www.lexico.com/definition/science> - accessed 11/06/2022.

⁶ The word “**aeon**” is defined as: - “1. (formal) an extremely long period of time; thousands of years ... 2. (geology) a major division of time, divided into eras.” – <https://www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com/definition/english/aeon> - accessed 5/06/2023.

⁷ “Changing views on the age of planet Earth.” – By Peter Lynch; “The Irish Times”; August 19, 2021. <https://www.irishtimes.com/news/science/changing-views-on-the-age-of-planet-earth> - accessed 11/06/2022.

the mid-19th century onwards. Is this just a historical coincidence? Or, is this **Theory** and its presuppositions driving the ever increasing estimates of modern science? Let us never forget, these dates are **only estimates**.

TWELVE SCIENTIFIC FACTS THAT PROVE THE THEORY OF EVOLUTION IS NOT TRUE: -⁸

1. The evolution of one kind into another kind is not happening in a measurable way in the present, nor can it be proven to have occurred in the past.
2. No new kinds of organisms are being observed coming from previously existing organisms. (We discover new kinds that we have never catalogued before, but this only shows our ignorance of their existence.)
3. No new structures or organs have been observed coming into existence. All observed structures or organs are fully formed when first observed. (The only observed changes to current structures or organs come from their decay and degradation.)
4. There are distinct gaps between the known kinds of organisms. One kind is not observed to change into another kind. We do not observe the "missing links" because they are missing, not there, don't exist.
5. Life only comes from life and reproduces after its own kind. Life does not come from non-living material. Life does not spontaneously generate itself. [This is known as the Law of Biogenesis.]
6. Mutations, the supposed driving mechanisms of evolution, are random in nature and are neutral or harmful. They do not accumulate beneficially.
7. We observe stasis, not change, in nature. Extinction is a proof of creation. We do not find change in the fossil record nor can we measure it in the present. Animal and plant kinds that exist today retain the same appearance but are smaller in size than their known predecessors.
8. The fossil layers are not found in the ground in the nice neat clean order that evolutionists illustrate them to be in their textbooks. There is not one place on

⁸ The following twelve points have been taken from "[A Primer on the Scientific Reasons that Evolution is Wrong!](https://www.creationworldview.org)" ([creationworldview.org](https://www.creationworldview.org)) – accessed 9/07/2022.

the surface of the earth where you may dig straight down and pass through the fossil layers in the order shown in the textbooks.

9. Life forms are found to be complex even in the "oldest" layers of the fossil record.
10. The law of Cause and Effect not only describes that for every effect there must have been a cause, it also tells us that the cause must be greater than the effect. No one can create anything greater than themselves.
11. The Second Law of Thermodynamics (The Law of Entropy) proves that evolution cannot happen. The Second Law stipulates ... that in all activities some of the energy becomes unavailable for further useful work. The universe is running down, not up.
12. The concept of a "Big Bang" producing the universe is absolutely illogical. Explosions do not produce ever increasing order and structure. Explosions produce disorder and chaos.

If we turn to the opposite world view, that is, to the **Theory of Special Creation by an Intelligent Designer**, it must be admitted that it also cannot be tested in scientific experiments. But one of the main differences between this theory and the **Theory of Evolution**, is that it can be supported by the observable evidence in the field of scientific endeavour. This fact does fall within the definition of "science".

Here are a few points to consider from the observable scientific evidence that supports the belief in the **Theory of Special Creation by an Intelligent Designer**.

SOME SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE THAT SUPPORTS THE THEORY OF SPECIAL CREATION AND A YOUNG EARTH: -

1. Science agrees that matter is running down, going into a state of decay or entropy. This is called the 2nd Law of Thermodynamics⁹. This agrees with the Biblical record, which clearly taught this truth approximately 3, 000 years ago.

⁹ The following statement gives a simple and easy to understand definition of the 2nd Law of Thermodynamics.

Psalm 102:24 - 26: - "I said, O my God, take me not away in the midst of my days: thy years *are* throughout all generations. Of old hast thou laid the foundation of the earth: and the heavens *are* the work of thy hands. They shall perish, but thou shalt endure: yea, all of them shall wax old like a garment; as a vesture shalt thou change them, and they shall be changed."

2. It is accepted by scientists that we are daily being showered with meteoritic dust. It is accepted that thousands and possibly millions of tons of cosmic dust fall to earth each year. If this had been occurring for many millions of years, as the **Theory of Evolution** would suggest, very large deposits should be found upon the earth. But this is not what in fact we do find. This suggests a young age of the earth, which agrees with the **Theory of Special Creation by an Intelligent Designer**.

"Cosmic dust is falling on the Earth at a measurable rate. That same dust is falling on the Moon. In the late 1960's, evolutionists calculated that there would be hundreds of feet of cosmic dust on the Moon. They warned that the astronauts might get stuck in the dust. When astronauts landed on the Moon, they discovered a fraction of an inch everywhere they went. That's about the amount of dust you would expect to find on a 10,000 year-old moon, but not one that is billions of years old."¹⁰

3. If one studies the kingdom of mammals and of course Human Beings in particular, one quickly discovers a simple but vital factor concerning the ability to reproduce all the various species that speaks in favour of the **Theory of Special Creation by an Intelligent Designer**, and which is one of the biggest hurdles the **Theory of Evolution** cannot get over. This truth that must be considered is: -

The Aspect of Reproduction and the Passing on of the Genetic information in DNA to the Next Generation.

All the necessary conditions, that is, the bodily organs for reproduction to successfully occur, and the first pair of each species, had to be in place together at the


"The second law of thermodynamics means hot things always cool unless you do something to stop them. It expresses a fundamental and simple truth about the universe: that disorder, characterised as a quantity known as entropy, always increases." <https://www.newscientist.com/definition/second-law-of-thermodynamics> - accessed 13/06/2022.

¹⁰ [www.Fire and Evolution \(scienceagainstevolution.info\)](http://www.FireandEvolution.com) – accessed 4/06/2022.

same time, to be able to successfully reproduce itself. If every thing concerning the reproductive process was not in place when the first pair of the species tried to mate, they would have died out, before the **supposed** evolutionary mutations took place over the vast time scales proposed by the **Theory of Evolution**.

4. Molecular studies suggest that, relatively recently, one woman provided the mitochondrial DNA that gave rise to all the people living today. This individual is known as "mitochondrial Eve."

"As such, mitochondrial DNA (also known as the "mitogenome") is a key tool for tracking genetic history. L0¹¹ is especially important in that regard, as all living people are believed to descend on their maternal line from the woman who first carried the sequence, a hypothetical woman called "mitochondrial Eve."¹²

 This evidence is completely consistent with the Biblical record of Creation as recorded in Genesis 1 & 2. No wonder, the Bible records the truth that, "And Adam called his wife's name Eve; because she was the mother of all living." - Genesis 3:20.

5. One of the world's leading solar astronomers, John Eddy at a symposium entitled "Time in Full Measure," held at Louisiana State University, on April 13, 1978, made the following remarkable statement in Geotimes, September 1978 – reported by Raphael G. Kazmann, in an article entitled – ***"It's about time: 4.5 billion years."*** –

"'There is no evidence based solely on solar observation,' Eddy stated, 'that the sun is 4.5 - 5 billion years old. I suspect that the sun is 4.5 – 5 billion years old. However, given some new and unexpected results to the contrary, and some time for frantic recalculation and theoretical readjustment, I suspect that we could live with Bishop Ussher's value for the age of the earth and sun¹³. I don't think we have much in

¹¹ The following statement helps to explain what "LO" means in this statement.

"The L0 lineage is a sequence of DNA encoded solely in mitochondria, a small structure in your cells that turns food into cellular energy." - <https://www.livescience.com/mitochondrial-eve-first-human-homeland.html> - accessed 6/06/23.

¹² https://www.livescience.com/mitochondrial_eve_first_human_homeland.html - accessed 13/06/2022.

¹³ Ussher's Chronology which John Eddy is referring to, is briefly outlined below: -

the way of observational evidence in astronomy to conflict with that.' He concluded that solar physics now looks to palaeontology for data on solar chronology. ... He concluded that astronomy, as an observational science can say nothing about chronology as far back as 4.7 billion years."¹⁴

This of course also fits in within the Biblical account of Creation.

6. The Universe runs in perfect order. It is so predictable that it is possible to accurately predict, to within seconds, where planets and stars will be at any given time. And these predictions can be made many years ahead of time. That suggests an Intelligent Designer. Examine your watch. You readily accept that a Watchmaker put this together by intelligent design, so that the watch would work efficiently. It is a precision instrument. And the Universe is also a precision instrument. Our very existence depends upon stability and upon unvarying natural laws. Otherwise, we would not know from one day to another, if the Sun would shine tomorrow, or if the waves of the ocean would not overflow the surface of the earth. Things in nature and in the Universe are inherently stable and predictable. This stability and the fixed laws in the Natural World and the Universe all tend to undermine the random chance processes of the **Theory of Evolution**. The human body is the most wonderfully efficient and intricately designed precision instrument ever known to mankind. If even one part or vital organ of our bodies begins to malfunction, our very life can easily come to an end, and we die. So many bodily functions and inter-related systems within our bodies, function in

“**James Ussher** (or **Usher**; 4 January 1581 – 21 March 1656) was the Church of Ireland Archbishop of Armagh and Primate of All Ireland between 1625 and 1656. He was a prolific scholar and church leader, who today is most famous for his identification of the genuine letters of the church father, Ignatius of Antioch, and for his chronology that sought to establish the time and date of the creation as "the entrance of the night preceding the 23rd day of October... the year before Christ 4004"; that is, around 6 pm on 22 October 4004 BC, per the proleptic Julian calendar.” - James Ussher - Wikipedia – accessed 5/06/2023.

¹⁴ John A. Eddy, Ph.D. (Astrogeophysics) (Solar Astronomer at the High Altitude Observatory at Boulder, Colorado). As reported by R.G. Kazman, "It's About Time: 4.5 Billion Years" (a report on a symposium at the Louisiana State University, *Geotimes*, Vol. 23, September 1978, p. 18).

perfect interaction with each other, day in day out, that we take this precision for granted. The only time we generally consider this wonderful precision within our bodies is when a serious disabling or life-threatening illness occurs to ourselves or to someone we love. This should all speak to our minds of a **Master Designer**. To think that this wonderful precision instrument of the human body just happened by random chance mutations over millions of years, is totally illogical and absurd. It takes a far bigger leap of faith to believe in the **Theory of Evolution**, than to believe that a **Master Designer** created the Universe and humanity upon this planet, and that he has established fixed and stable laws within the Universe and the natural world.

THE BIBLE HAS ANTICIPATED MODERN SCIENCE: -

I would like to share the following brief sample¹⁵ of information with the reader, which establishes the truth, that **the Bible has anticipated the findings of modern science in some cases, by hundreds and thousands of years.**

1.] THE BIBLE TEACHES THAT THE EARTH IS ROUND: -

We are well aware of the misconceptions existing as late as the time of Columbus concerning the earth supposedly being flat. But the Bible prophet Isaiah around 700 B. C. correctly identified the earth's shape as being "a circle" or a "sphere".

Isaiah 40:22: - "It is he that sitteth upon **the circle of the earth**, and the inhabitants thereof are as grasshoppers; that stretcheth out the heavens as a curtain, and spreadeth them out as a tent to dwell in."

2.] THE BIBLE TEACHES THAT THE EARTH IS SUSPENDED IN SPACE: -

Ancient cultures had different ideas concerning the earth. The Chinese thought that the earth was a square. The Egyptians thought that it was rectangular with a "starry" goddess arching her body over the earth while the sun and moon massaged her arms and legs. The Mesopotamians thought of the earth as a floating

¹⁵ More findings could be given under this SECTION of this tract, but this small sample should be sufficient for the purpose of establishing the truthfulness of this proposition.

boat covered by an astrodome-like structure. But the Bible around 1500 B. C. indicated plainly that earth hangs upon nothing in space.

Job 26:7: - “He stretcheth out the north over the empty place, **and hangeth the earth upon nothing.**”

3.] THE BIBLE STATES THAT THE STARS CANNOT BE COUNTED: -

At one time, the world thought there were 1, 100 stars in the universe. Around 100 A. D. Ptolemy was actively cataloguing and naming the stars. Astronomers **now estimate** that there are about 100 Billion stars in our galaxy alone and they further **estimate** about 100 Billion galaxies. Yet the Bible writer Jeremiah described the stars as being innumerable around 600 B. C.

Jeremiah 33:22: - “**As the host of heaven cannot be numbered**, neither the sand of the sea measured: so will I multiply the seed of David my servant, and the Levites that minister unto me.”

4.] THE BIBLE TEACHES THAT EVERY STAR IS DIFFERENT: -

It was popularly held that all stars were identical. Science did not realize that each star was different until the findings of William Herschel in 1780. The apostle Paul in the first century A. D. stated this truth.

1st Corinthians 15:41: - “**There is one glory of the sun, and another glory of the moon, and another glory of the stars: for one star differeth from another star in glory.**”

5.] THE BIBLE TEACHES THAT AIR HAS WEIGHT: -

From the days of Aristotle through to the Dark Ages and the Renaissance, the scientific world believed that air was weightless. In fact, science didn't acknowledge the weight of air until the discovery of barometric pressure by Torricelli in 1643. Yet around 1500 B. C. the book of Job indicates that air has weight.

Job 28:25: - “**To make the weight for the winds;** and he weigheth the waters by measure.”

6.] THE BIBLE ANTICIPATED THE 2ND LAW OF THERMODYNAMICS: -

Clausius defined the 2nd law of thermodynamics, the law of entropy, in 1850. Entropy, in its simplest sense, means that things tend to move from a state of order to disorder (randomness). Put simply, things tend to decay over time. Stars burn out.

Springs unwind. Heat dissipates. Only when energy is purposely added can order be gained out of a state of deterioration. (This is a strong argument against evolution, since increasing the complexity of species without the purposeful input of a Creator would violate the law of entropy.) The Bible makes several references to entropy.

Psalm 102:24 - 26: - “**I said, O my God, take me not away in the midst of my days: thy years are throughout all generations. Of old hast thou laid the foundation of the earth: and the heavens are the work of thy hands. They shall perish, but thou shalt endure: yea, all of them shall wax old like a garment; as a vesture shalt thou change them, and they shall be changed.**”

Romans 8:20 - 22: - “**For the creature was made subject to vanity, not willingly, but by reason of him who hath subjected the same in hope, because the creature itself also shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the children of God. For we know that the whole creation groaneth and travaileth in pain together until now.**”

With this brief sample of evidence that establishes the truthfulness of this SECTION of this tract, I pose the following simple question: -

How could a **supposedly** uninspired and unscientific book, turn out to state truths which modern science did not know the existence of, until the last couple of hundred years? The answer is obvious: - The Bible writers were inspired by the Spirit of God, to record these scientific facts, because they got their information from the God who has created all things in the Universe. This fact is another death kneel to the **Theory of Evolution**, and helps to give us added confidence in the belief of **Special Creation by an Intelligent Designer God**.

In reality, there is ample scientific evidence to support the first verse of the Bible.

Genesis 1:1: - “In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth.”